

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

COURTNEY J. BUCKNER, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ASHLEY TAYLOR, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:23-cv-01579-DAD-DMC (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

(Doc. No. 6)

Plaintiffs Courtney J. Buckner—an inmate at the Rio Consumnes Correctional Center—
and Silvia Lopez Buckner—who is not incarcerated—are proceeding *pro se* and *in forma
pauperis* in this action. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to
28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On August 25, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations
recommending that this action be dismissed because this court does not have subject matter
jurisdiction over plaintiffs' claim. (Doc. No. 6.) Those pending findings and recommendations
were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within
fourteen (14) days after service. (*Id.* at 3.) To date, no objections to the pending findings and
recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed.

////

////


1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a
2 *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the
3 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

4 Accordingly,

- 5 1. The findings and recommendations issued on August 25, 2023 (Doc. No. 6) are
6 adopted in full;
- 7 2. This action is dismissed due to this court's lack of jurisdiction over plaintiffs'
8 claim; and
- 9 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: January 18, 2024

12 
13 DALE A. DROZD
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28